

Ethical Communication and Green Claims in Sustainable Marketing: Issues, Challenges

S. Arul Chinnappa Raj

MBA - (HR & MARKETING),

St. Joseph University,

Tamil Nadu.

Dr.J.Jeya Sunitha

Associate Professor,

Management Studies,

St. Joseph University,

Tamil Nadu.

Abstract

Ethical communication is an essential element of sustainable marketing, especially in the use of green claims to communicate environmental responsibility. Green claims influence consumer perceptions and purchasing decisions; therefore, they must be accurate, transparent, and verifiable. However, the increasing use of vague or misleading environmental claims has raised ethical concerns and reduced consumer trust. And I am going to use the secondary data analysis to come to a conclusion. This paper examines ethical communication practices related to green claims and identifies key issues such as green washing, information asymmetry, lack of standardization, and regulatory challenges. It also discusses the importance of truthful messaging, evidence-based claims, and adherence to ethical guidelines in marketing communication. The study emphasizes that standardized and responsible green communication is necessary to protect consumers, enhance organizational credibility, and support sustainable development.

I.INTRODUCTION

The emergence of sustainability as a strategic priority has significantly influenced marketing communication practices. Firms significantly communicate their environmental initiatives through green claims to appeal to environmentally

conscious consumers.¹ Ethical communication, defined as honest, transparent, and responsible information exchange, is essential in this context. Consequently, the growing prevalence of misleading environmental claims has raised concerns among regulators, consumers, and scholars.² In fact, this paper explores the ethical communication in sustainable marketing with a particular focus on green claims, addressing and dealing with issues and challenges.

Concept of Ethical Communication in Marketing

Ethical communication in marketing refers to the “truthful, clear, and non-deceptive presentation of information to stakeholders.”³ Absolutely, ethical communication is rooted in principles of honesty, fairness, and accountability. In sustainable marketing, ethical communication ensures that environmental claims accurately reflect a firm’s actual practices and performance.

According to Drumwright and Murphy, “ethical marketing communication goes beyond legal compliance and emphasizes moral responsibility toward consumers and society.”⁴ The failure to adhere to ethical standards can result in loss of trust, reputational damage, and regulatory penalties.

Understanding Green Claims

“Green claims are assertions that a product, service, or organization has environmentally beneficial attributes.”⁵ These claims may relatively be attributed for reduced emissions, recyclability, energy efficiency and sustainable sourcing. All the more, green claims could promote sustainable consumption, their credibility depends on accuracy, clarity, and verifiability.

The Researchers have categorized these green claims into two, they are as follow:

explicit claims (direct environmental benefits) and **implicit claims** (suggestive imagery or vague terms such as “eco-friendly” or “natural”).⁶ The misuse of such claims creates ethical dilemmas and consumer confusion.

- **Product-based claims** (e.g., recyclable packaging)
- **Process-based claims** (e.g., low-emission manufacturing)
- **Corporate-level claims** (e.g., sustainability commitments)

Each category carries ethical responsibility, as misleading claims at any level can distort consumer perception.

Ethical Issues Associated with Green Claims

Green washing and Misrepresentation

“Green washing occurs when organizations exaggerate or falsely present their environmental performance.”⁷ This practice violates ethical communication’s principles and misleads consumers. Empirical studies show that “green washing reduces brand credibility and increases consumer skepticism toward sustainability initiatives.”⁸

Selective Disclosure

“Another ethical issue involves selective disclosure, where firms highlight minor environmental achievements while concealing significant negative impacts.”⁹ Such partial transparency creates a distorted sustainability narrative and undermines informed decision-making.

Consumer Vulnerability

Consumers often lack the “technical expertise to evaluate environmental claims, making them vulnerable to misleading communication.”¹⁰ This information imbalance heightens the ethical obligation of firms to communicate responsibility.

Challenges in Ethical Green Communication

Complexity of Environmental Data

“Environmental performance data is complex, multi-dimensional, and often dependent on life-cycle assessments.”¹¹ Translating this complexity into simple marketing messages without losing accuracy is a major challenge for organizations.

Absence of Uniform Standards

“The lack of globally uniform standards for green claims leads to inconsistent communication practices.”¹² Firms operating in multiple markets face difficulties aligning their communication strategies with diverse regulatory frameworks.

Monitoring and Enforcement Limitations

Despite the presence of guidelines, enforcement mechanisms are often weak. “Limited monitoring capacity allows unethical green claims to persist, particularly in digital and social media marketing.”¹³

Governance and Strategic Responses

To strengthen ethical green communication, organizations should adopt the following measures:

- Establish **internal ethical review systems** for sustainability claims.
- Use **third-party audits and certifications** to validate environmental messages.
- Align marketing communication with **sustainability reporting frameworks** such as ESG and GRI standards.

Regulators and industry bodies also play a crucial role in developing clearer guidelines and enforcement mechanisms.

Major Aspects of Sustainability

Sustainability refers to development that meets present needs without harming the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. It is generally understood through three major dimensions, often called the triple bottom line: environmental, social, and economic sustainability.

1. Environmental Sustainability

Environmental sustainability focuses on protecting natural resources and reducing ecological damage. It involves:

- Reducing carbon emissions and pollution
- Efficient use of energy and water
- Waste reduction and recycling
- Sustainable sourcing of raw materials
- Biodiversity conservation

The goal is to minimize environmental impact while maintaining production and consumption activities responsibly.

2. Social Sustainability

Social sustainability emphasizes human well-being, equality, and social justice. It includes:

- Fair wages and safe working conditions
- Respect for human rights
- Community development initiatives
- Gender equality and inclusion
- Consumer health and safety

Organizations practicing social sustainability ensure that their operations positively impact employees, customers, and society.

3. Economic Sustainability

Economic sustainability ensures long-term financial stability without compromising environmental or social responsibilities. It involves

- Ethical business practices
- Long-term profitability
- Responsible investment decisions
- Innovation for sustainable growth
- Transparent governance systems

This aspect balances financial performance with ethical and environmental commitments.

4. Technological and Innovation Sustainability

Technology plays an important role in sustainability through:

- Development of green technologies
- Renewable energy systems
- Sustainable product design
- Digital tools for monitoring environmental impact

Innovation supports efficient resource use and reduces long-term environmental damage.

II. CONCLUSION

Ethical communication is fundamental to the credibility and effectiveness of sustainable marketing strategies. While green claims offer opportunities to promote environmental responsibility, unethical practices such as green washing and selective disclosure pose significant challenges. Addressing these issues requires organizational commitment, transparent communication practices, and strong governance frameworks. Ethical green communication not only protects consumers but also contributes to long-term trust and sustainable market development

III. REFERENCES

1. Kotler, P. & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.), Pearson, p. 92
2. Lyon, T. P. & Montgomery, A. W. (2015). "The Means and End of Green wash," *Organization & Environment*, 28(2), p. 224.
3. Murphy, P. E. et al. (2018). *Ethical Marketing*, Pearson, p. 41.
4. Drumwright, M. E. & Murphy, P. E. (2009). "The Current State of Advertising Ethics," *Journal of Advertising*, 38(1), p. 86.
5. D'Souza, C. et al. (2007). "Green Advertising Credibility," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 24(6), p. 373.
6. Carlson, L., Grove, S. & Kangun, N. (1993). "A Content Analysis of Environmental Advertising Claims," *Journal of Advertising*, 22(3), p. 31.
7. Delmas, M. A. & Burbano, V. C. (2011). "The Drivers of Green washing," *California Management Review*, 54(1), p. 65.

8. Chen, Y.-S. (2010). “Green Trust,” *Management Decision*, 48(2), p. 313.
9. Cho, C. H. et al. (2015). “Selective Disclosure,” *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28(1), p. 84.
10. Akerlof, G. A. (1970). “The Market for Lemons,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), p. 489.
11. ISO (2014). *ISO 14021 Environmental Labels*, p. 9.
12. OECD (2018). *Environmental Claims and Consumer Protection*, p. 18
13. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) (2020). *Green Guides*, p. 4.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Akerlof, G. A. “The Market for Lemons,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 1970.
2. Carlson, L. & Grove, S. “Green Advertising Claims,” *Journal of Advertising*, 34(2), 2005.
3. Carlson, L., Grove, S. & Kangun, N. “A Content Analysis of Environmental Advertising Claims,” *Journal of Advertising*, 22(3), p. 27–39, 1993.
4. Chen, Y.-S. “Green Trust,” *Management Decision*, 48(2), pp. 307–318, 2010.
5. Cho, C. H. et al. “Selective Disclosure,” *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28(1), pp. 78–108, 2015.
6. D’Souza, C. et al. “Green Advertising Credibility,” *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 24(6), pp. 371–382, 2007.
7. Delmas, M. A. & Burbano, V. C. “The Drivers of Green washing,” *California Management Review*, 54(1), 2011.
8. Drumwright, M. E. & Murphy, P. E. “The Current State of Advertising Ethics,” *Journal of Advertising*, 38(1), pp. 83–107, 2009.
9. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) (2020). *Green Guides*.
10. GRI. *Global Sustainability Reporting Standards*, 2021.
11. ISO. *ISO 14021 Environmental Labels*, 2014.
12. Kotler, P. & Keller, K. L. *Marketing Management* (15th ed.), Pearson, 2016.
13. Lyon, T. P. & Montgomery, A. W. “The Means and End of Green wash,” *Organization & Environment*, 28(2), pp. 223–249, 2015.
14. Murphy, P. E. et al. *Ethical Marketing*, Pearson, (2018).
15. OECD . *Environmental Claims and Consumer Protection*, 2018.
16. Schwartz, M. S. *Business Ethics*, Wiley, 2017.
17. Thøgersen, J. “Eco-label Effectiveness,” *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 23(1), 2000.