

India as an Emerging Hub of Global Manufacturing and Reliable Supply Chain- A Blockchain Perspective in Exports

Dr.A.S.Kalyana Kumar

*Associate Professor,
IPE Hyderabad.*

Dr.V.Parameswari

*Assistant Professor,
Jamal Mohamed College, Trichy -20.*

Abstract

The implementation of blockchain in agriculture could revolutionize the sector by creating an immutable record of transactions, from farm to fork. This would not only ensure food safety and quality but also enable fair pricing and reduce intermediaries, directly benefiting farmers. Moreover, smart contracts on the blockchain could automate payments and enforce agreements, streamlining processes and reducing disputes in the agricultural supply chain. These advancements align with the "Make in India" initiative by fostering innovation, attracting investments, and positioning India as a leader in agri-tech solutions.

Technology-driven, integrated supply chains enable entities to reduce inventory and costs, add product value, extend resources, accelerate time to market, expand market, increase pricing for sellers and variety for buyers as well as retain customers. In order to have a successful supply chain, the Indian Government has sought to involve multiple stakeholders to improve interactions between farmers, processors, distributors and retailers. The Indian government's focus is on supply chain-related infrastructures like cold storage, abattoirs and food parks.

Keywords: Blockchain, Agriculture, Farmers, Supply Chain, Agri-Tech Solution

I. INTRODUCTION

The "Make in India" campaign, initiated in 2014, aims to elevate India to a global manufacturing hub by attracting foreign investments and enhancing domestic production capabilities.(Choudhury, 2020) This manufacturing focus is deemed essential for job creation, reducing import dependence, and increasing exports(Liu et al., 2019) . However, India faces significant challenges in achieving this objective, including infrastructural limitations, complex regulatory frameworks, and the necessity for workforce skill enhancement(Kimta& Dogra, 2024).

The conventional agricultural industry encounters numerous challenges:

1. Supply Chain Inefficiencies:

Supply chain inefficiencies in the agricultural sector include fragmented distribution systems, a dearth of adequate storage facilities leading to significant post-harvest losses, limited access to controlled frozen transport for perishable goods, and the involvement of numerous intermediaries, which reduces farmers' incomes. Furthermore, unreliable transportation infrastructure contributes to delays and product deterioration.

- Fragmented distribution systems
- Lacking of memory storage facilities directs to substantial post-harvest leftover(Tang, 2023)
- Controlled frozen transport availability for agriculture products(Hasan & Habib, 2022)
- Several third party involvement causes to reducing the income of the farmers(Quintana et al., 2022)
- Unreliable transport infrastructure causes delays and product worn out(Park & Choi, 2014)

2. Traceability Concerns:

Traceability concerns within the agricultural sector include a lack of robust record-keeping practices and limited adoption of tracking technologies. This hinders the ability to trace the origin and quality of agricultural products, posing challenges in meeting global food safety and quality standards. Moreover, the lack of traceability increases the risk of food fraud and adulteration.

- Lack of record keeping practice or methods (Patel et al., 2023)
- Nil or minimal practice adopted in tracking technology (Ryan, 2017)
- Difficulties in tracing the agricultural product's quality and its origin(Aung & Chang, 2022)
- Challenges in attaining worldclass food safety and assuring the quality standards
- Escalated risk of food scam and adulteration(Oriekhoe, Ihemereze, et al., 2024)

3. Market Instability:

- Irregular product costs due to supply-demand differences(Schewe et al., 2017)
- Seasonal variations in production and use patterns(Lagi et al., 2015)
- Change of global market movements on regional charges(Schewe et al., 2017)
- Limited open to marketplace info for agronomists(Schewe et al., 2017)

- Unavailability of peripheral factors such as climate conditions and policy variations(Lagi et al., 2011)

These concerns influence the productivity, value, and sustainability of the conventional farming sector. Referring these challenges demands a versatile approach, in incorporating scientific revolution, policy amendments, and advanced infrastructure.

Blockchain technology offers potential solutions to these challenges in the agri-based products sector(- et al., 2024) :

1. Supply Chain Efficiency(Pakseresht et al., 2022) :

The blockchain facilitates real-time product tracking from farm to customer, reducing delays and ineffectiveness (Adewusi et al., 2023). Smart-contracts can rationalize transactions, reducing mediators and escalates farmer revenues(Jaiswal et al., 2019) (Kumarathunga et al., 2022). Decentralized ledgers give transparency in record administration, improving storage and reduction in post-crop losses(- et al., 2024) .

2. Enhanced Traceability:

An immutable blockchain data ensures genuineness and source of agricultural goods(Margarida Cachada et al., 2022). Every supply chain stage can be recorded, generating a evident and provable product history(Jabade et al., 2023). This increases fulfillment with food safety guidelines and decreases the risk of food scam(Panwar et al., 2023).

3. Market Stability:

The blockchain-based platforms can give real-time market information, enabling farmers with evidence for expanded decision-making(Kumarathunga et al., 2020). Smart contracts can enable direct farmer-consumer networks, lowering price instability caused by mediators(Kumarathunga et al., 2022). Tokenization of agronomic resources can enable new economic instruments, possibly become stable prices and easing market risks(Yang, 2024).

4. Quality Assurance:

An IoT devices combined with blockchain can supervise and recording environmental situations during transport and storage(Menon et al., 2021). This data, accumulated immutably on blockchain, can validate faithfulness to quality standards and improve conditions for perishable things(Dange&Nitnaware, 2023).

5. Financial Inclusion:

The blockchain-based financial services can give farmers with enhanced approach to credit and protection(Mhlanga, 2023). Cryptocurrency commerce can decrease costs associated with global payments and settlements(Chung et al., 2023).

6. Improved Logistics:(Duan et al., 2024)

The blockchain can optimize route arrangement and resource distribution in transportation(Oriekhoe et al., 2024). Smart contracts can automate payments and document processing, streamlining customs clearance for international shipments(P S & K V, 2021).

Blockchain technology has the promise to substantially transform agri-based production, enhancing efficiency, transparency, and profitability throughout the supply chain.

II. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, blockchain technology presents a transformative opportunity for India's agricultural sector, aligning with the "Make in India" initiative. By enhancing traceability, improving supply chain efficiency, and stabilizing markets, blockchain can increase farmer incomes, improve food safety, and boost agricultural exports. Leveraging blockchain technology can position India as a global leader in agri-tech solutions, driving economic growth and ensuring a sustainable and prosperous future for its farmers.

III. REFERENCES

1. -, A., -, T., -, R., -, Z., & -, S. (2024). Traceability of Fruits and Vegetables Agricultural Products using Blockchain. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(3). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19943>
2. Adewusi, A., Chiekezie, N., & Eyo-Udo, N. (2023). Blockchain technology in agriculture: Enhancing supply chain transparency and traceability. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 5(12), 479–501. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v5i12.1514>
3. Aung, M. M., & Chang, Y. S. (2022). Food Traceability (pp. 119–135). *springer*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-09567-2_8
4. Choudhury, R. N. (2020). Why did make in India scheme fail to attract FDI inflows in Indian manufacturing sector? *Journal of Public Affairs*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2341>
5. Chung, S., Lee, C. H., Kim, K., & Oh, W. (2023). Interdependence between online peer-to-peer lending and cryptocurrency markets and its effects on financial inclusion. *Production and Operations Management*, 32(6), 1939–1957. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13950>
6. Dange, S., & Nitnaware, P. (2023). Secure Share: Optimal Blockchain Integration in IoT Systems. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 64(2), 265–277. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2023.2193943>
7. Duan, J., Zhang, M., & Xiao, B. (2024). Exploring the Application of Blockchain Technology in Logistics and Transportation System. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*, 14(2), 111–114. <https://doi.org/10.54097/4990y379>
8. Hasan, I., & Habib, M. (2022). Agri-Food Supply Chain (ASC) in Bangladesh: A Linear Physical Flow. *International Supply Chain Technology Journal*, 8(12). <https://doi.org/10.20545/isctj.v08.i12.03>
9. Jabade, V., Pawar, M., & Powar, S. (2023). Blockchain based Application for Fake Product Detection. *INTERANTIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN*

- ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT, 07(11), 1–11.
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem27187>
10. Jaiswal, A., G.M. M., Modi, C., Chandel, S., Muzumdar, A., & Vyjayanthi, C. (2019, December 1). A Conceptual Framework for Trustworthy and Incentivized Trading of Food Grains using Distributed Ledger and Smart Contracts. <https://doi.org/10.1109/indicon47234.2019.9030290>
11. Kimta, A., & Dogra, R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in the Pharmaceutical Sector of India: Future Prospects and Challenges. *Journal of Business and Econometrics Studies*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.61440/jbes.2024.v1.21>
12. Kumarathunga, M., Calheiros, R., & Ginige, A. (2020). Towards Trust Enabled Commodity Market for Farmers with Blockchain Smart Contracts. 4, 75–82. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3399871.3399891>
13. Kumarathunga, M., Ginige, A., & Calheiros, R. N. (2022). Smart Agricultural Futures Market: Blockchain Technology as a Trust Enabler between Smallholder Farmers and Buyers. *Sustainability*, 14(5), 2916. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052916>
14. Lagi, M., Bar-Yam, Y., Bertrand, K. Z., & Bar-Yam, Y. (2015). Accurate market price formation model with both supply-demand and trend-following for global food prices providing policy recommendations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(45). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413108112>
15. Lagi, M., Bertrand, K. Z., Bar-Yam, Y., & Bar-Yam, Y. (2011). The Food Crises: A Quantitative Model of Food Prices Including Speculators and Ethanol Conversion. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1932247>
16. Liu, F., Park, K., & Whang, U. (2019). Organizational Capabilities, Export Growth and Job Creation: An Investigation of Korean SMEs. *Sustainability*, 11(14), 3986. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11143986>
17. Margarida Cachada, A., Badikyan, H., Parra, J., Leitão, P., Anzola-Rojas, C., & Prieta, F. D. L. (2022, March 15). BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGIES TO IMPLEMENT TRACEABILITY IN THE FARM TO FORK CHAINS. <https://doi.org/10.14201/0aq03112742>
18. Menon, K. N., James, D., Titus, D. J., Thomas, J., & Thomas, K. (2021). ColdBlocks: Quality Assurance in Cold Chain Networks Using Blockchain and IoT (pp. 781–789). *springer singapore*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-9927-9_76
19. Mhlanga, D. (2023). Block chain technology for digital financial inclusion in the industry 4.0, towards sustainable development? *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2023.1035405>
20. Oriekhoe, O., Adisa, O., & Ilugbusi, B. (2024). ENSURING GLOBAL FOOD SAFETY: INTEGRATING BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY INTO FOOD SUPPLY CHAINS. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(3), 811–820. <https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i3.905>

21. Oriekhoe, O., Ihemereze, K., Ikwue, U., Ashiwaju, B., & Udeh, C. (2024). BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(1), 150–166. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i1.714>
22. P S, S., & K V, L. (2021, January 20). Blockchain based Smart Contracts in Automation of Shipping Ports. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icit50816.2021.9358634>
23. Pakseresht, A., Xhakollari, V., & Ahmadi Kaliji, S. (2022). How Blockchain Facilitates the Transition toward Circular Economy in the Food Chain? *Sustainability*, 14(18), 11754. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811754>
24. Panwar, A., Sugandh, U., Misra, S., & Khari, M. (2023). Blockchain in Agriculture to Ensure Trust, Effectiveness, and Traceability from Farm Fields to Groceries. *Future Internet*, 15(12), 404. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi15120404>
25. Park, N.-K., & Choi, W.-Y. (2014). 중국동북부지역콜드체인네트워크설계에관한연구. *Journal of Fisheries and Marine Sciences Education*, 26(4), 760–768. <https://doi.org/10.13000/jfmse.2014.26.4.760>
26. Patel, A. S., Brahmabhatt, M. N., Bariya, A. R., Nayak, J. B., & Singh, V. K. (2023). “Blockchain technology in food safety and traceability concern to livestock products.” *Heliyon*, 9(6), e16526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16526>
27. Quintana, A. A., Chong, M., Cordova, M. L., & Camaro, M. A., P. J. (2022). The Impact of Logistics on Marketing Margin in the Philippine Agricultural Sector. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies*, 3(2), 300–317. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jefas.2021.3.2.27>
28. Ryan, J. M. (2017). Chapter 7 - In-transit temperature control monitoring and traceability standards. In *Guide to Food Safety and Quality During Transportation* (pp. 223–256). elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-812139-9.00007-1>
29. Schewe, J., Frieler, K., & Otto, C. (2017). The role of storage dynamics in annual wheat prices. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(5), 054005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa678e>
30. Tang, F. (2023). Application of a Cold-Chain Logistics Distribution System Based on Cloud Computing and Web Delivery Date Management. *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management*, 16(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijisscm.318644>
31. Yang, J. (2024). Application of Blockchain Technology in Real Estate Transactions Enhancing Security and Efficiency. *International Journal of Global Economics and Management*, 3(3), 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v3n3.14>